1	Gwen Rutar Mullins, Esq.								
2	Nevada Bar No. 3164	C							
3	HOWARD & HOWARD ATTORNEYS PLLC 3800 Howard Hughes Pkwy., Ste. 1000								
4	Las Vegas, NV 89169								
5	Telephone: (702) 257-1483 Facsimile: (702) 567-1568 E-Mail Address: grm@h2law.com								
6	Attorneys for Casas Construction								
7	and Daniel J. Casas								
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT								
9	FOR THE DISTRI	CT OF NEVADA							
10	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA for the use								
11	and benefit of CASAS CONSTRUCTION,	Case No.: 2:21-C							
12	Plaintiff,								
13	VS.								
14	SIERRA RANGE CONSTRUCTION, a	STIPULATIO EXTEND DEA							
15	California corporation; PHILADELPHIA INDEMNITY INSURANCE COMPANY, AND DOES I through V, inclusive,								
16									
17	Defendants.								
18	Defendants.								
19	GIEDDA DANGE CONGEDUCTION								
20	SIERRA RANGE CONSTRUCTION, a California corporation;								
21	Cross-Claimant,								
22	VS.								
23	CASAS CONSTRUCTION, a Nevada								
24 25	corporation; DANIEL J. CASAS, an individual, and ROES 1 though 5, inclusive;								
26	Cross-Defendants.								
27	Cross Defendants.								
28	1								

STIPULATION AND ORDER TO EXTEND DEADLINE FOR JOINT PRETRIAL ORDER (Second Request)

Case No.: 2:21-CV-00573-RFB-DJA

Pursuant to LR 7-1, LR IA 6-1, and LR IA 6-2, CASAS CONSTRUCTION and DANIEL J. CASAS, (jointly referred to as "Plaintiff") by and through their counsel of record, Gwen Rutar Mullins, Esq., of the law firm of HOWARD & HOWARD ATTORNEYS PLLC, and SIERRA RANGE CONSTRUCTION and PHILADELPHIA INDEMNITY INSURANCE COMPANY (jointly referred to as "Defendants"), by and through their attorneys of record, William J. Braun, Esq. of the law firm of BRAUN & MELUCCI, LLP and Steven L. Yarmy, Esq. of the LAW OFFICES OF STEVEN L. YARMY by their respective counsel, that the parties shall have additional time to draft and file the proposed Joint Pretrial Order ("JPTO"). This is the second request for an extension.

The extension is necessary and requested by Plaintiff's counsel due to Plaintiff's counsel's conflicting schedule on another Court matter and in order to allow Plaintiff's counsel to review the draft list of Defendants' trial exhibits and the proposed revisions and additions made by Defendants' counsel to the JPTO. The timing has been discussed with counsel and the parties have agreed to a two-week extension to the current deadline, May 30, 2024. The two-week extension will also allow Plaintiff's counsel to review Defendant's draft documents and further allow the parties a meaningful opportunity to pare down the issues in the final JPTO.

On April 24, 2024, the parties submitted a Stipulation and Order to Extend Deadline for Joint Pretrial Order (First Request)." (ECF No. 68). The Court granted the stipulation on April 29, 2024 (ECF No. 69) setting the deadline for the parties to submit the JPTO on May 30, 2024. In conformity with such stipulation, Plaintiff's counsel prepared and provided Defendants' counsel with a draft JPTO and Plaintiff's list of trial exhibits on May 16, 2024. Defendants' counsel provided Defendants' list of exhibits on May 28, 2024 and is expected to provide Defendants' written comments to the initial draft of JPTO on May 29, 2024. Additional time is needed for Plaintiff's counsel to review Defendants' initial drafts and for parties to address any objections/comments to each other's submission. The sole remaining deadline in the Court-ordered schedule is the filing of the JPTO with the Court. The parties further desire additional time in order to meet and confer for the purpose of attempting to pare down the issues in the JPTO and to come to an agreement as to the exhibits and any objections thereto so to streamline

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

to	the	ext	tent	poss	ible	the	tria	l on	this	matter.	Due	to	the	Plaint	iff's	confl	icting	sche	dule
ad	lditio	nal	tim	e is	need	ded	by 1	Plain	tiff's	counsel	for	this	pu	rpose,	to	which	additi	onal	tim
Defendants' counsel does not object.																			

The parties now respectfully propose to extend the filing of the JPTO by two weeks to and including June 13, 2024. Good cause exists for the extension as set forth herein. This is the second request for an extension of this deadline and is not intended to cause any delay. This stipulation is submitted for the limited purpose of extending the above deadline and is without prejudice to any parties' rights.

PROPOSED JOINT PRE-TRIAL ORDER DEADLINE

For the foregoing reasons, good cause exists to extend the deadlines set forth herein. Accordingly, the parties stipulate and agree to amend the Discovery Plan and the Scheduling Order as set forth herein and further agree to the following proposed new deadline:

Joint Pretrial Order: June 13, 2024

As set forth herein, this Stipulation is supported by good cause and is not made for purposes of delay.

Dated this 29th day of May, 2024.

/s/ Gwen Rutar Mullins

GWEN RUTAR MULLINS, ESQ.

HOWARD & HOWARD ATTORNEYS PLLC **BRAUN & MELUCCI, LLP**

Nevada Bar No. 003146	Pro Hac Vice									
3800 Howard Hughes Pkwy, Ste. 1000	7855 Ivanhoe Avenue, Ste. 400									
Las Vegas, Nevada 89169	La Jolla, CA 92037									
Attorneys for Casas Construction and										
Daniel J. Casas	and									
	Steven L. Yarmy, Esq.									
	LAW OFFICES OF STEVEN L.									
	YARMY									
	7464 West Sahara Avenue									
	Las Vegas, Nevada 89117									
	Attorneys for Sierra Range									

/s/ William J. Braun

William J. Braun, Esq.

Construction

3800 Howard Hughes Pkwy., Ste. 1000 Las Vegas, NV 89169

ORDER

This Court, having reviewed and considered the Stipulation by the parties, and Good Cause Appearing therefore, the Joint Pretrial Order deadline will be extended as agreed to by the parties as follows:

Joint Pretrial Order

June 13, 2024

IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATED this __31___ day of _____, 2024.

UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

4896-2223-0467, v. 2